G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03
~~191~~ .
( Miscellaneous )

# File No. 235

# Serial Nos.

# Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Sreepada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundi Hill at Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUKHAI DEPARTMENT

NOTE FOR ORDERS.

Sreepada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Chamundi Hill at Mysore

---

One Ramanna of Alake Agrahara, Yelandur Taluk, pres a petn to H.H. the Maharaja praying that the Paravard of Sreepada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari Temple which was in the name of his paterna uncle Narain Bhatta since deceased may be transferred to him This petn was transferred to this Depte for disposal with the Private Secretarys no 1977 of 17th April 1903 The petn having been forwarded to the Palace Comte Mysore for report the hrs states that two claim

been received for the
-t Sreepada Service
the temple caused by the
-th of Nagam Bhatta on the
-d April 1903 — the first from
Ramappa and the second
from Rajeeva Ranga Bhatta —)
that pending disposal of
claim, a substitute has
been appointed on full pay
for the current work. After
hearing both parties, he has
submitted a report No 9 of
24th Jul 1903 in which he states
that the Sreepadar Service carries
with it a salary of Rs 5 a month.
that the original incumbent
was one Vaidya Bhatta that
on his death, his brothers son
Subba Bhatta (a) succeeded him
and that the latter's son Nagambhatta (1st)
inherited the last next and died
on the 2nd April 1903 leaving 3 daughters
no son - He further reports

that Ramanna the pet^r is said to be the son of Subba Bhatta (b), son of Vaidya Bhatta above named. The pet^r alleges that his father was a minor when his cousin Subba Bhatta was appointed to succeed Vaidya Bhatta but was subsequently doing the work jointly and was receiving a share in the emoluments But the Palace Court states that the pet^r has admitted no proof of his statement The statement of the Palace Munsif Sheristedar (Venkata ramanayya) shows that for upwards of 50 years Subba Bhatta (a) & his son Nagam Bhatta

have been performing the
duties & receiving the pay
& that pet:r Ramanna's father
Subba Bhatta (b) never had
anything to do with the work
or pay: In the revised Taserk
Patta of the temple issued
in Nov:r 1899, the name
of "ಸೀತಾ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್" (Nagam Bhatta)
is entered for one of the Sreepada
Services on Rs 5 a month
= The Palace cont:r stated
as his opinion that it is
inexpedient to re-open the
claim of Vaidya Bhatta's son
& his heir after an undisturbed
adverse enjoyment of more
than 50 years & that the Sreepada
Service should be given to
Nagam Bhatta's eldest daughter's
husband (son-in-law) Bilijiri Ranga Bhatta
who is fit & willing
= Submitted & the Diwan

Subject to the Decree

The Taluka Sandholder may be requested to dispose of the case before him on its merits, and the Decree informed accordingly

After his decision is given, the aggrieved party may appeal to Court.

9/8/93

12092
10.8.03

0379

Ramanna's statement is rejected as he has no adverse right is not up. If the Sandholder is not satisfied with his statement - his proper course is to call upon them to produce his witness & to take their evidence and be called. His course & he adopted as final report to be submitted

11. VIII 03

12/8/93

Note continued.

The Palace Controller was written to in terms of the above orders of the Dewan. In reply, the Controller reports* that the rival claimants to the Sripada service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple did not appear before him although notices were sent to them twice and that as the work could not be stopped, a substitute on full pay of Rs 5/- per mensem has been in the meantime engaged to carry on the work temporarily.

Submitted nivaradaraja

Rs
30/8/04

Note continued.

The Palace Controller again writes under date the 25th August 1904*, that Rangabhatta, Nagam Bhatta's son-in-law)

* in his letter No 26, dated the 16th August 1904.

his No. 33, dy 25th aug '04.

appeared before him on the 22nd
idem and gave his deposition
praying that the Sripada Bara
ward of the Chamundi Hill temple
might be conferred on his
minor son (who was the daughter's
son of the deceased Nagam Bhatta)
and that he himself would do
duty for him during his minority
The P. E. further states that
the other claimant Ramanna et al
did not appear before him
up to the 25th idem, although
a notice was issued to him on
the 23rd August-1903 to prove his
case in 15 days.

PS
30/8/04.

It is not known whether
Notice was duly served upon
Ramanna - Ask the Palace
Controller to get another
Notice duly served upon him
Complete the Conduct, and
Submit a final Report to Govt
Vide Devasthana order dt 11th Aug 1903.
HH 31/8/04

(3)

Note on file.

As directed by the Secretary on page 7 of this Note, the Palace Secretary was written to & in reply that officer states that notice was served on Ramanna on the 17th Sept. 1904, that Ramanna appeared before him & deposed that he had no vouchers in support of his claim that his father Veeranna used to tell him that he had a hak for service & that the fact has been mentioned in a Division Book more than 40 years old & that he (Ramanna) did not enjoy the hag since.

The Palace Secty. states that as nothing has transpired to induce an alteration of his opinion, the hag should be confirmed to Bilijuri Ramanna Khatta.

Submitted
19.10.04 RS
27/10/04

(4) Nos 68 & 69 of 1904

As this case refers to a claim for Sirkada service, which is being

(9)

treated as a hereditary office, which
it must be decided by a Committee of
the Council under Rule 12 (1)(a) of the
Rules for the Conduct of Business
published in Notice No. 36 dated 4th May 1962.
The case may be referred accordingly.

Thiruvananthapuram
31/10/64

Submitted to the Dewan
through the 2nd Minister

145
2/11/64.

The Palace Committee
may decide
if the case
is to merit
the Government
sanction giving effect
to the order
then pending before
the Council Committee.

5/11/64

After went my
the above Opinion
that the Committee
of Privileges have
decided the
Government may accordingly

(10)

for a memorandum
not been defined.
If he is to exercise
the commissioners
under his jurisdiction
the enactment
he must have
to have such defined.

7/12/04.

2599
9.11.04.

As all the facts are here
& the decision required
will save much labour
this may at once be placed
before Council Committee

5.XII.04

Subd to 2d Cl 7/12/04

Read
7.12.04.

4353
no: 2118 Rec 17/12
8:12:04.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt,
Genl & Rev Depts, for favour of being
placed before the Committee of the Council.

By order
Secty, Municipal Dept

8/12/04
Bombay
C.C
9/12/04

Please see
page

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

No. R 9703
Mis. Mug. 7 no. 17-97.

Retransferred to the Secy to Govt in the Munsarim Department together with the drafts of decision and endorsement passed by the Committee of the Council.

(By Order
for Secretary

3733

6/4/1905

Issue the draft approved by the committee
11/4/05

75
868

1917

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
Bombay, Dated 17th April 1913

Petition -- as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja [illegible]

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Huzrai Department, Bangalore for disposal

No. 1183
13-4-13

By Order

I. C. S
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Rep: No 75 / 868

శ్రీ

(initials) 13.4.03

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3-8-4-03 [illegible]

Bütün Bilişim Raufa Bilal ve Ali Rıza'nın ve
bütün ekibe başarılar dilerim.
Selam
24.7.03

ಫಾರ್ಮ್ 2

ಅರಸೀಕೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಕಂದಾಯ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ 23-ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ
111-ನೇ ನಿಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಚಲಾಯಿಸಿ
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರವಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಅರಸೀಕೆರೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ
ಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 45 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿ
ಹೊಸ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಕೊಡಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ
ಉದ್ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಯಾವುದೇ
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ
ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಮೂವತ್ತು ದಿನಗಳ ಒಳಗಾಗಿ
ನನಗೆ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ನಿಗದಿತ ಅವಧಿಯೊಳಗೆ
ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಬಾರದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು
ಸೂಕ್ತವೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

22-5-1903

25.5.03

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 25-5-03 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಬೆಂ/123 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ

ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 29-5-03

Вулиця
6.10.04

[illegible]

ಪ್ರಾಯೋಗಿಕ

ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

೧ ಮೆಲ್ಲಿಯಂ ಪೊಟ್ಯಾಸಿಯಂ ಪರ್ಮಾಂಗನೇಟ್

೨ ಸಾಂದ್ರ ಹೈಡ್ರೋಕ್ಲೋರಿಕ್ ಆಮ್ಲ

೩ ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

ಅ ಹಿತ್ತಾಳೆಯ ಕೊಳವೆಯಾಕಾರದ ಪಾತ್ರೆ

ಆ ಉದ್ದನೆಯ ಕೊಳವೆಯಾಕಾರದ ಪಾತ್ರೆ

೪ ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

ಅ ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

ಆ ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

ಇ ಕೆಂಪಿನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು

2187 D77-8-03

Cont'l to 188 D77-5-03

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 75/868

dated 13-4-03 ~~received at the~~

~~Dewan's Office from~~ addressed to

H. H. the Maharaja of Ellypore

by one Ramanna of Alakere

Agrahara, Yelandur Taluk

praying that the Bavavard

of Sripada Service in the

~~is forwarded, together with its~~

~~enclosures, to the~~

Sri Chamundeswari

temple on the Chamundi Hill

at Ellypore, which was

in the ~~deceased~~ drawe of his paternal uncle

Bhattar ~~name~~ Nagam

since deceased may be

transferred to hers, is

forwarded to the Palace

Controller, Ellypore, for

report.

By Order,

BANGALORE,

18 . } Dy ~~Muzrai~~ Secretary

Muzrai Department

1/5/03

1/5/03

1903

From

V.T. Srasimhachar, B.A.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To

L. Ananthasami Rao, B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Huzur Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 7th July 1903.

Despatched

Received

General, No. /
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Rec'd
9/7/03

Sir,

Adverting to your No:2187 dated 7th May 1903 & D.O. dated 3rd Instant, I have the honor to state that two claims have been received for the vacant Sripáda service in the Chámundéswari temple caused by the death of Nágam Bhatta on the 3rd April last, the first from Ramanna and the 2nd from Biligiri Rangabhatta. Ramanna was not a joint Karavardadar. As neither applicant has appeared in order to have his claims enquired into, a substitute has

been

been appointed on full pay for the current work, pending the disposal of the claim.

2. Notices have been issued to the parties to put in an appearance in 15 days, at the end of which time, the matter will be finally disposed of and a report sent to Government as desired.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

No. 9.

PALACE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE,
Mysore

Dated 24th July 1903.
254

28/7/03

From V. N. Narasimmiyengar, Esquire,
THE PALACE CONTROLLER,
Mysore.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esquire,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No:1 dated 7th Inst., have the honor to state as follows.:-

2. The service of Sripada in the Chamundesvari temple carries with it a salary of ₹5/- a month. The original incumbent was one Vaidya Bhat. On his death, his brother's son,(a) Subba Bhat succeeded him. The latter's son Nágam Bhat inherited the haq next, and died in April last, leaving 3 daughters, but no son.

3. Ramanna, the writer of the petition received with your Memo No:2187 dated 7th May 1903, is reported to be the son of (b) Subbabhat, son of the above Vaidya Bhat. He alleges that his father was a minor when his cousin Subbabhat was appointed to succeed Vai-dya Bhat, but was subsequently doing the work jointly and was receiving a share in the emoluments. But he has admittedly no proof of his

his statement. Neither is there any warrant for the allegation of Ramanna that the haq had been mortgaged to (a) Subbabhat.

4. According to the statement of the aged Muzroi Shirastedar of the Palace, who was formerly the Parpatyegar of the temple and whose experience and knowledge extend back into the days of H. H. the late Krishnaraj Wadayar, Bahadur, it is undisputed that for upwards of the last 50 years, (a) Subbabhat and his son Nagambhat have been performing the duties and receiving the pay; and that Petitioner Ramanna's father (b) Subbabhat never had any thing to do with the work or pay.

5. In the revised list of the Hill temple Establishments, the name of Nagambhat is entered.

6. Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that it is inexpedient to re-open the claim of Vaidya Bhat's son and his heir after an undisturbed adverse enjoyment of more than 50 years, and that the Sripad service in question should be given to Nagambhat's eldest daughter's husband, Biligiri Rangabhat, who is fit and willing.

7. The petition under report and connected papers are retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

B.M.H.

Mysore Government,

General Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore/7th August 1903.

No. 4638 Enq/3.

Inwd: F 1797.

From,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esqr., B.A.,

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department.

To,

The Palace Controller, Mysore.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No. 9 dated 24th July 1903, reporting on the petition of one Ramanna claiming the hak of Freepada service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the Hill at Mysore, I am directed to observe that the petitioner Ramanna's statement that he is entitled to the Berawrd of the Freepada service in question is neither disputed by the other party nor is an ~~&&&&&&&~~ adverse right set up. If you are not satisfied with his statement, the proper course is to call upon him to prove his case and to take the evidence which he cites as also what may be cited by the other side. I am accordingly directed to request you to be so good as to adopt this course now and submit a final report with the entire records.

2. The enclosures to your letter under reply are

herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department.

Remainder

189 .

*From*

THE ~~MUZRAI~~ SECRETARY TO THE
Govt
~~DEWAN~~ OF MYSORE.

*To* Muzrai Department

The Palace Controller,
Mysore.

*Dated*

*Despatched* 5th August 1904

*Received*

*General No.* 624
*Muzrai No.*
*Enclosure No.*

---

This Office No. 463 dated
Muz: 7: no 17-97
17th August 1903 Calling for
further Report re the
S. Pada Service in the
Sri Chamundeswari Temple
on the Hill at Mysore

---

---

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~.

---

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

By Order,

Abl- 1/8/04

*Muzrai Secretary*

Rs

1904-05.

From

V.N.Narasimmiyengar, Esq.,
Palace Controller.
M y s o r e.

To L. Anantasami Rao, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzroi Department.
B a n g a l o r e.

Received
20th Aug 1904.

Dated 16th August 1904.

Despatched 18th

Received

General No. 26
No:

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In reply to your No: 624/17-97 dated 2/5th August 1904, I have the honour to inform you that the Varasdars of the late Nagabhatta of Sripáda service of the Chámundi Hill temple has not yet appeared although notices were sent to them twice; and therefore the report called for by Government could not be submitted as yet.

2. As the work cannot be stopped a substitute on full pay, i.e., ₹5/- a month has in the meantime been engaged

to

to carry on the work temporarily.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

M.K.V.

No. 33

Enc 1

Ed 27/8/04

Repeo

M y s o r e .

Dated 25th A u g u s t 1904.
26

From,

V. N. Narasimmiyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esq.,

Secretary to the Govt.

Muzroi Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No:26 dated 16/18th Inst., I have the honor to state that Rangabhatta appeared before me on the 22nd Inst., and gave his deposition (herewith - attached) asking that the Sripada Baravard of the Chamundi Hill temple may be conferred on his son, who is the daughter's son of the deceased Nagambhatta, and that he himself would do duty for him in his minority.

2. Ramanna the other claiment has not appeared up to - date although a notice was issued to him on 23rd August 1903, to prove his case in 15 days.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

V N Narasimmiyengar

Palace Controller.

Ch.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq. B.A,
*~~Deputy~~ Secretary to the Govt. of*
*H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
*Muzrai Department.*

To

The Palace Controller,
Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 5.9.04.

Received

General No. 975
Muzrai F. No. 17-97

Enclosure No.

---

His letter no. 33, dated 25th August 1904, reporting that Ramanna, one of the claimants for the Sripada service in the Chamundi Hill Temple, did not appear before him up to the 25th idem although a notice was issued to him

—— " ——

Has the honor to state that it is not understood from the above, when the notice was duly served upon the individual in question. The Palace Con-

is requested to get another notice duly served upon him, complete the evidence and submit a final report to Govt for orders.

By order,

3/9/84
Secretary,
Mines Dept.

3/9/84

No:68
Rec'd 1 file of papers
1378

Palace Controller's Office,

Mysor

Dated 6th October 1904.

From,

V. N. Narasimmiyengar, Esq.,

Palace Controller.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esq.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Muzroi Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket No:975 dated 3rd Ultimo, I have the honor to state that as therein desired, a notice was issued to Rananna and served on the 17th Id. through the Amildar of Yalandur.

2. Rāmanna appeared before me today, and has deposed that he has no vouchers in support of his claim; that his father when alive used to tell him that he had a haq to the Sripada service on the Chamundi Hill temple, and that the fact is mentioned in a certain division bond more than 40 years old. He further admits that he has had no enjoyment of the haq since .

3. I beg to remark that in this further inquiry, nothing has transpired to induce an alteration of the opinion expressed in my letter No:9 dated 24th July last to the effect that the haq should be confirmed to Biligiri Rangabhat.

4. The file is resubmitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. Narasimmiyengar

Palace Controller.

B.M.H.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No.

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

*the* 190

To

The Collector of
Coimbatore

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a notice in duplicate of the date of hearing of the Revenue appeal No. 85 of 1904-5 before this Government, and to request that the duplicate may be served on the Respondent Bathigiri Ranganbhatta residing at Collegal and the original returned ~~with~~ Office with mode of service endorsed thereon, so as to reach the Office on or before the 20th March 1905 as the appeal

P.

SUBJECT.

Stands posted to 27th March 1905
for hearing before the Govt Appellate
Share &c.

for Secretary.
16/2/05

16.2.05

compared 16/2/05

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by* 16/2/05

*Examined by*

MGCPB—5,000—20-5

D.Dis.No.786/Rev.of 05.

Coimbatore Collector's Office,
Dated 9th March 1905.

From

R. H. Shipley, Esquire., I.C.S.
Collector of Coimbatore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.R.7275/Misc., dated 17th February 1905, I have the honour to return the original of the notice therewith received duly served on the individual concerned as requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T Rangaswamy Ay

for Collector.

15/3.

Coimbatore Collector's Office.

6787

From

The Collector

of Coimbatore.

10/3/05

Rev Mis 4/16/5

The Secretary to the

Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, General

and Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated, 9th March 1905.

Despatched, DESPATCHED 15 MAR 1905

Received.

D.Dis.No.786/Rev.of 05.

Enc. one.

SUBJECT.

Returning notice duly served on the individual referred to in it.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 85 of 1904-05

~~Petitioners~~ ~~Appellant.~~ Appellant

Vs.

Respondent.

1) Ramanna

~~2) Bidigiri Ranga Bhatta~~.

Bidigiri Ranga Bhatta

claim to Sripada Service, in the Channa-
-desavari temple at Nanjanagud.

Date of hearing 27-3-905

Notices issued on 16-2-05

Served on ~~both~~ the applt in person on 26-2-05

Do do respondt do on 3-3-05

Appellant in person -
Respt absent - this served

Heard the Advt.

Order reserved.

27/3/05.

27/3/05

Vide decision attached

MGCPB—500—10-3-05.

# Note

Appeal No 85 of 1904 05

Appellant – Ramanna & Yelandur

Respondent – Belegire Ranga bhatta & Coimbatore

## Subject

Claim to Sripada service in the Chamundi temple at Mysore.

Last reference – Mug Secy's Memo No 2118 & 8-12-04.

The facts of the case are clearly set forth in the Muzarai Secy's note put up herewith and flagged. From the records the relationship between the parties appears to be as ~~follow~~ shewn below. —

Vaidyabhatta
|
Subbhabhatta (b)
|
Ramanna (Appellant)

J 2
J 1
Subbhabhatta (a) 4
|
Nagambhatta (died in 3/1903) 5
|
Daughter married Belegire abhatta | Daughter (Respondent)

Decision.

On the death of the
original Inkedar
Vaidya Bhatta the hak-
to the Srifarda service
passed into the hands
possession of his
brothers family which
has been enjoying
& using the emoluments
appertaining thereto
ever since. The appell-
has virtually admitted
no evidence in support
of his claim except
that his grand father-
held the office many
years ago. This
fact by itself is not
a sufficient reason
to deprive the family
of the deceased Bapaji
Bhatta of the hereditary
service in the enjoyment.
for upwards of 50 years
The Court directs that



MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The land in this account has registered in the name of the deceased's grandson & his daughter his father Kumal is appointed Guardian during the minority the appeal is rejected.

S Mudaliar
27/3/05

Al receive a copy
2703.

Read
29/3/05

n. sheet

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

2

i.)

# Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Appeal No. 85 of 04-05.

---

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Ramanna & Jelandur

Biligiri Rangaiah & Co.

SUBJECT.

Claim to the Sripada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore

Subd for appl by Counsel

1st Counsel for 3-4-1905

320/4-05.

3/4

The facts of the case are as follow. ——

The

Heard Counsel 3/4/05 — 2nd Counsel for 4-4-1905

4/4/05 4/4

4/4/05 4/4

Order for 6-4-1905

6/4

M G C.P.B.—1000—28-1-05

The service of Sripada
in the Chamundeswari
temple at Mysore carries
with it an ~~salary~~ emolument of Rs 5 a
month. The original in-
-cumbent was one Vaidyabhatta.
On his death his brother's
son, Subbabhatta (a) succeeded
him. The latter's son
Nagumbhatta inherited
the "hak" next and died without male issue
in April 1903 leaving only
3 daughters ~~but no son.~~
On the death of Nagumbhatta,
~~the appellant~~ Ramanna the appellant,
claimed the office in
question on the grounds ~~that~~
that he is the son of Subba-
-bhatta (b) the son of Vaidyabhatta
above named and that
his father was a minor

then

when his cousin Subba-
-bhatta (a) was appointed
to succeed Vaidyabhatta
but was subsequently
doing the work jointly,
and was receiving a
share in the emoluments.
After ~~On~~ enquiry the Palace
Controller states that
the appellant has adduced
~~mutedly~~ no evidence in proof of his
statement and that for
upwards of ~~two~~ last 50
years past Subbabhatta (a)
and his son Nagumbhatta
have been performing
the duties and receiving
emoluments attached to the office
The ~~pay~~ and that the
appellant's father Subba-
-bhatta (b) ~~had~~ never had

anything

anything to do with
the ~~work~~ Office or ~~pay~~ the emoluments in question.
He is also of opinion that
the ~~Service~~ Office in question
~~should~~ be given to
Nagunnbhatta's eldest
daughter's husband
~~na~~ named Bilyiri Ranga-
-bhatta, the respondent,
who is fit and willing to do the duties connected therewith

## Decision

On the death of the
original hakdar Vaidya-
-bhatta the hak to the
Srijpada service passed
into the possession of
his brother's family which
has been enjoying it
and the emoluments

appertaining

appertaining thereto
ever since. The appellant
has ~~unfortunately~~ adduced
no evidence in support
of his claim except and even entirely
on the fact
that his grand father
held the office some
years ~~ago~~ back. This fact by
itself is not a sufficient
reason to deprive the
family of the deceased
Nagambhatta of the
hereditary service in
~~the~~ its enjoyment for
upwards of 50 years.
Govt direct that the hak
to the service be register
ed in the name of the
deceased's grandson
(by his daughter) his
father

father being appointed
Guruvasta during his
the former's minority.
The appeal is rejected.

viz Bilupini Rangabhatta the respondent

Yrs

No 3799 Dy 15.4.05.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments in Mysore

with reference
to Palace Controllers No:
68 Dy 6th October 1904.

By Order

Dy
Asst

Munzarai Secy

## BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

*Appeal No. 85 of 1904-05.*

| Appellant | *Versus* | Respondent |
|---|---|---|
| Ramanna of Yelandur | | Biligiri Ranga Bhatta. |

*Subject.*

Claim to the Sripada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore.

The facts of the case are as follow :—

The service of Sripada in the Chamundeswari temple at Mysore carries with it an emolument of Rs. 5 a month. The original incumbent was one Vaidya Bhatta. On his death his brother's son Subba Bhatta (a) succeeded him. The latter's son Nagum Bhatta inherited the "hak" next and died without male issue in April 1903, leaving only 3 daughters. On the death of Nagum Bhatta, Ramanna, the appellant, claimed the office in question on the grounds that he is the son of Subba Bhatta (b) the son of Vaidya Bhatta above named and that his father was a minor when his cousin Subba Bhatta (a) was appointed to succeed Vaidya Bhatta but was subsequently doing the work jointly and was receiving a share in the emoluments, etc. After due enquiry, the Palace Controller states that the appellant has adduced no evidence in proof of his statement and that for upwards of 50 years past, Subba Bhatta (a) and his son Nagum Bhatta have been performing the duties and receiving the emoluments attached to the office and that the appellant's father Subba Bhatta (b) never had anything to do with the office or the emoluments in question. He is also of opinion that the office in question should be given to Nagum Bhatta's eldest daughter's husband named Biligiri Ranga Bhatta, the respondent, who is fit and willing to do the duties connected therewith.

*Decision.*

On the death of the original hakdar Vaidya Bhatta, the hak to the Sripada Service passed into the possession of his brother's family which has been enjoying it and the emoluments appertaining thereto ever since. The appellant has adduced no evidence in support of his claim but relies entirely on the fact that his grandfather held the office some years back. This fact by itself is not a sufficient reason to deprive the family of the deceased Nagum Bhatta of the hereditary service in its enjoyment for upwards of 50 years. Government direct that the hak to the service be registered in the name of the deceased's grandson (by his daughter) his father, *viz.*, Biligiri Ranga Bhatta. the respondent, being appointed gumasta during his (the former's) minority. The appeal is rejected.

---

No. 3799, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH APRIL 1905.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishment, Mysore, with reference to Palace Controller's No. 68, dated 6th October 1904.

Draft—

*Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.*

Exd. — C.R.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 15th April 1905*

No. 3799

17-9

Rejecting the appeal petition of Yelandur Ramanna, regarding the claim to the Sripada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore.

SBP—5-5-05—200

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ

ನಂಬರು. 3890 ದಿ 15.4.05

ಅಲಂದೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಳಕಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಭೂಮಿ

ದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಬ್ಬಪ್ಪ ಪ್ರಭಾಕರಪುತ್ರ ಲಿಂಗಾಯಿಂಲಾನವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಾಮ್ಸ್ ಸಂ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಲಂಗೆಶ್ವರಿ ನಿವೇದಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂದಪ್ಪನವರು ಕರಿವಿಷ್ಟಲು ಸಲ್ಲಿ,

ಬಿ.

3/4/05

ಪ್ರ ಶ್ರೀಯುಷ್ಮಾನ್ ಚಂದಪ್ಪಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಂ

ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರು ಮೊಡಿರುವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇರೆ ನೀವು ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 8-4-03 ನೇ ಮೆಕ್ಕಾಂ 76 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಇದೇ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರಿಂದ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನಿಸಿ ಬರಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟನ್ನು ಸಹಾ ಪರಾಮರಿಸಿ ಲಾಯಿತು. ಪ್ರಕರಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂದಪ್ಪನವರು ಅಳಕಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

[illegible]

[illegible]

B. Order

Muy Secy

No. 3807 D/16.4.05

[illegible]

B. O

Dft
appr
17/4/05

Muy Secy

## BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

*Appeal No 85 of 1904-05.*

| Appellant | *Versus* | Respondent |
|---|---|---|
| Ramanna of Yelandur | | Biligiri Ranga Bhatta |

*Subject.*

Claim to the Sripada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore

The facts of the case are as follow :—

The service of Sripada in the Chamundeswari temple at Mysore carries with it an emolument of Rs. 5 a month The original incumbent was one Vaidya Bhatta. On his death his brother's son Subba Bhatta (a) succeeded him The latter's son Nagum Bhatta inherited the "hak" next and died without male issue in April 1903, leaving only 3 daughters. On the death of Nagum Bhatta, Ramanna, the appellant, claimed the office in question on the grounds that he is the son of Subba Bhatta (b) the son of Vaidya Bhatta above named and that his father was a minor when his cousin Subba Bhatta (a) was appointed to succeed Vaidya Bhatta but was subsequently doing the work jointly and was receiving a share in the emoluments, etc After due enquiry, the Palace Controller states that the appellant has adduced no evidence in proof of his statement and that for upwards of 50 years past, Subba Bhatta (a) and his son Nagum Bhatta have been performing the duties and receiving the emoluments attached to the office and that the appellant's father Subba Bhatta (b) never had anything to do with the office or the emoluments in question He is also of opinion that the office in question should be given to Nagum Bhatta's eldest daughter's husband named Biligiri Ranga Bhatta. the respondent, who is fit and willing to do the duties connected therewith.

*Decision.*

On the death of the original hakdar Vaidya Bhatta, the hak to the Sripada Service passed into the possession of his brother's family which has been enjoying it and the emoluments appertaining thereto ever since. The appellant has adduced no evidence in support of his claim but relies entirely on the fact that his grandfather held the office some years back This fact by itself is not a sufficient reason to deprive the family of the deceased Nagum Bhatta of the hereditary service in its enjoyment for upwards of 50 years Government direct that the hak to the service be registered in the name of the deceased's grandson (by his daughter) his father, *viz*, Biligiri Ranga Bhatta, the respondent, being appointed gumasta during his (the former's) minority. The appeal is rejected.

---

No. 3799, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH APRIL 1905.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishment, Mysore, with reference to Palace Controller's No. 68, dated 6th October 1904.

L ANANTASAMI RAO,
*Secy to Govt., Muzrai Dept.*

**Exd.**—C.R.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSOI

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 15th April 1905*

No. 3799

Rejecting the appeal petition of Yelandur Ramanna, regarding the claim to the Sripada Service in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore.

SBP—5-5-05—200